

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Favipiravir in the treatment of patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive after discharge: a multicenter, open-label, randomized trial

Hong Zhao, Chi Zhang, Qi Zhu, Xianxiang Chen, Guilin Chen, Wenjin Sun, Zuohan Xiao, Weijun Du, Jing Yao, Guojun Li, Yanhua Ji, Niuniu Li, Yujin Jiang, Ying Wang, Qingjin Zeng, Wei Li, Beilei Gong, Xianyou Chang, Feng Zhu, Xiufeng Jiang, Jiawen Li, Zhao Wu, Yingxia Liu, Peng Peng, Guiqiang Wang

PII: S1567-5769(21)00338-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107702

Reference: INTIMP 107702

To appear in: International Immunopharmacology

Received Date: 26 January 2021 Revised Date: 2 April 2021 Accepted Date: 19 April 2021



Please cite this article as: H. Zhao, C. Zhang, Q. Zhu, X. Chen, G. Chen, W. Sun, Z. Xiao, W. Du, J. Yao, G. Li, Y. Ji, N. Li, Y. Jiang, Y. Wang, Q. Zeng, W. Li, B. Gong, X. Chang, F. Zhu, X. Jiang, J. Li, Z. Wu, Y. Liu, P. Peng, G. Wang, Favipiravir in the treatment of patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive after discharge: a multicenter, open-label, randomized trial, *International Immunopharmacology* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107702

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V.

TITLE PAGE

Favipiravir in the treatment of patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive after discharge: a multicenter, open-label, randomized trial

Hong Zhao^{1,2#}, Chi Zhang^{1#}, Qi Zhu^{3#}, Xianxiang Chen^{4#}, Guilin Chen³, Wenjin Sun⁵, Zuohan Xiao⁵, Weijun Du⁶, Jing Yao⁷, Guojun Li⁸, Yanhua Ji⁸, Niuniu Li⁸, Yujin Jiang⁸, Ying Wang⁸, Qingjin Zeng⁸, Wei Li⁹, Beilei Gong⁹, Xianyou Chang¹⁰, Feng Zhu¹¹, Xiufeng Jiang¹¹, Jiawen Li¹, Zhao Wu¹, Yingxia Liu^{12*}, Peng Peng^{4*}, Guiqiang Wang^{1,2*}

Author details:

- 1 Department of Infectious Disease, Center for Liver Disease, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China
- 2 Department of Infectious Disease, Peking University International Hospital, Beijing, China
- 3 Department of Tuberculosis, Wuhan Pulmonary Hospital, Wuhan, China
- 4 Administrative Office, Wuhan Pulmonary Hospital, Wuhan, China
- 5 Department of Infectious Disease, Ezhou Central Hospital, Ezhou, China
- 6 Department of Internal Medicine, Fankou branch of Ezhou Central Hospital, Ezhou, China
- 7 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Fankou branch of Ezhou Central Hospital, Ezhou, China
- 8 Department of Hepatology III, The Third People's Hospital of Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China
- 9 Department of Respiratory Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College, Bengbu, China
- 10 Department of Respiratory Medicine, Bengbu Fifth People's Hospital, Bengbu, China
- 11 Department of Respiratory Medicine, Wuxi Fifth People's Hospital, Wuxi, China
- 12 Administrative Office, The Third People's Hospital of Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China

Key words: Favipiravir; SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; Recurrent positive

Abbreviations: WBC white blood cell; SD standard deviation; ALB albumin; TBIL total bilirubin; ALT alanine aminotransferase; AST aspartate aminotransferase; LDH lactate dehydrogenase; CK creatine kinase; CK-MB creatine kinase; ALP alkaline phosphatase; GGT glutamyl transpeptidase; Cr creatinine; UA uric acid.

Hong Zhao, Chi Zhang, Qi Zhu and Xianxiang Chen contributed equally to this paper

*Corresponding Author:

Yingxia Liu,

Administrative Office, The Third People's Hospital of Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China E-mail: yingxialiu@hotmai.com

Peng Peng,

Administrative Office, Wuhan Pulmonary Hospital, Wuhan, China

E-mail: PengPengwg@126.com

Guigiang Wang,

Department of Infectious Diseases, Center for Liver Diseases, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China,

E-mail: john131212@126.com; john131212@sina.com

Guarantor of the article: Yingxia Liu, Peng Peng and Guiqiang Wang

Favipiravir in the treatment of patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive

after discharge: a multicenter, open-label, randomized trial

ABSTRACT

Background: The clinical characteristics and treatment of patients who tested positive

for COVID-19 after recovery remained elusive. Effective antiviral therapy is important

for tackling these patients. We assessed the efficacy and safety of favipiravir for treating

these patients.

Methods: This is a multicenter, open-label, randomized controlled trial in SARS-CoV-

2 RNA re-positive patients. Patients were randomly assigned in a 2:1 ratio to receive

either favipiravir, in addition to standard care, or standard care alone. The primary

outcome was time to achieve a consecutive twice (at intervals of more than 24 hours)

negative RT-PCR result for SARS-CoV-2 RNA in nasopharyngeal swab and sputum

sample.

Results: Between March 27 and May 9 2020, 55 patients underwent randomization; 36

were assigned to the favipiravir group and 19 were assigned to the control group.

Favipiravir group had a significantly shorter time from start of study treatment to

negative nasopharyngeal swab and sputum than control group (median 17 vs. 26 days);

hazard ratio 2.1 (95% CI [1.1 - 4.0], p=0.038). The proportion of virus shedding in

favipiravir group was higher than control group (80.6% [29/36] vs. 52.6% [10/19],

p=0.030, respectively). C-reactive protein decreased significantly after treatment in the

favipiravir group (p=0.016). The adverse events were generally mild and self-limiting.

Conclusion: Favipiravir was safe and superior to control in shortening the duration of

viral shedding in SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive after discharge. However, a

larger scale and randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial is required to

confirm our conclusion.

Key words: Favipiravir; SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; Recurrent positive

1. Introduction

At the end of 2019, a new coronavirus named SARS-CoV-2, has caused an international

outbreak of respiratory illness termed COVID-19[1]. By December 24 2020, COVID-

19 had infected more than 70 million people and killed about 1.7 million people worldwide[2]. According to the discharge criteria in China's COVID-19 prevention and treatment guidelines[3], more and more COVID-19 patients were discharged from hospital and received regular follow-up observation. Nevertheless, it has been reported that SARS-CoV-2 RNA has been re-detected in some recovered patients[4-8]. Unfortunately, the mechanism of recurrent positive of SARS-CoV-2 was not clear at present.

Favipiravir is a new broad-spectrum antiviral drug, which targets RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. On February 13, 2020, favipiravir tablets were approved by China FDA (batch number: 2020L00005) for COVID-19 's clinical trial. Favipiravir has a wide range of antiviral effects, including influenza A and B, viral haemorrhagic fever etc.[9]. In addition, a retrospective study of Ebola virus disease showed that the overall survival rate in the favipiravir group was higher than that in the control group (56.4% [22/39] vs35.3% [30/85], p=0.027)[10]. Experiments on favipiravir showed that it had anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity in vitro, although higher concentrations were required compared with chloroquine or remdesivir[11]. An open-label non-randomized clinical trial has shown that favipiravir can shorten the time of SARS-CoV-2 clearance compared with lopinavir/ritonavir (median 4 day [IQR 2.5-9] vs. 11 day [8-13] P < 0.001). The improvement rate of chest imaging in the favipiravir group was significantly better than that of control group (91.43% vs. 62.22%, P = 0.004)[12].

We designed this clinical trial to verify the efficacy and safety of favipiravir in SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive after discharge.

2. Methods

2.1 Study design and patients

In this multicenter, randomized controlled trial, we screened patients who tested repositive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA after discharge from 5 hospitals in mainland China.

The discharge of COVID-19 patients should meet the following criteria[3]: ①Body temperature returned to normal for at least 3 days. ② Respiratory symptoms significantly improved. ③ Pulmonary imaging showed that acute exudative lesions were significantly absorbed and improved. ④ The SARS-CoV-2 RNA test of respiratory tract samples was negative for two consecutive times (the sampling time was at least 1 day). The trial was done in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonization—Good Clinical Practice guidelines. This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University First Hospital and all other participating hospital (Wuhan Pulmonary Hospital, Ezhou Central Hospital, The Third People's Hospital of Shenzhen, The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College and Wuxi Fifth People's Hospital). All patients signed the informed consent form. The complete protocol for the clinical trial has been registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04333589) and also available on Trials[13].

From March 27 to May 9, 2020, we screened a total of 67 SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive after discharge. All patients enrolled in the favipiravir group and the control group should meet the following inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria include: ① Over 18 years of age, both male and female; ②After the first diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19, the SARS-CoV-2 RNA test of respiratory specimens such as sputum or nasopharyngeal swabs, has been negative for two consecutive times (sampling time interval of at least 24 hours), in accordance with China COVID-19 guidelines (7th Edition), discharged; ③ During screening visit (follow-up after discharge), the SARS-CoV-2 RNA test of COVID-19 is positive in any one of the following samples: sputum, nasopharyngeal swabs, blood, feces or other specimens. Regardless of whether or not they had symptoms and the severity of symptoms; ④ Volunteer to participate in the research and sign the Informed Consent Form. Key exclusion criteria included: ① Allergic to favipiravir; ② Pregnant or lactating women; ③The researchers considered the patient was not suitable to participate in this clinical trial.

2.2 Randomization and masking

Patients were randomly assigned to either the favipiravir group or the control group, in the ratio of 2:1, by simple randomization with no stratification. Randomized treatment was open-label. Patients were assigned to a serial number by the study coordinator. Each serial number was linked to a computer-generated randomization list assigning the antiviral treatment regimens. The study medications were dispensed by the hospital pharmacy and then to the patients by the medical ward nurses.

2.3 Procedures

The use of favipiravir was 1600 mg (bid) on the first day, and 600 mg (bid) from the 2nd day to the 7th day, orally. After that, the researchers decided whether to continue favipiravir according to the patient's condition, but no more than 14 days of treatment. Patients assigned to the control group received drugs other than favipiravir and treatment according to the needs of the disease.

All enrolled patients had to have laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection by RT-PCR in the nasopharyngeal swab. In order to avoid being mistakenly taken as saliva when taking sputum samples, sputum samples were taken from the respiratory tract samples after deep cough.

2.4 Outcomes

The primary outcome was time to achieve a consecutive twice (at intervals of more than 24 hours) negative RT-PCR result for SARS-CoV-2 RNA in nasopharyngeal swab and sputum sample. The secondary outcomes were the changes of blood routine and CRP (C-reactive protein); the count and proportion of T lymphocyte subsets in peripheral blood and the changes of cytokines. In addition, we also analyzed the relationship between the antibody titer and the SARS-CoV-2 RNA re-negative time. The safety end point is the frequency of adverse events in each group.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables were expressed as the median and range (or mean with standard deviation). Categorical variables were demonstrated with number and percentage. The t test (paired and non-paired) or Kruskal-Wallis analysis were used to compare continuous variables, and Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were used to categorical variables. SARS-CoV-2 positive rate between favipiravir group and control group curves were analyzed by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. The patient's sample size was calculated based on previous studies by Hung and colleagues[14]. Our hypothesis was that there may be a 5-day difference in the duration of SARS-CoV-2 between the favipiravir group and the control group, which meant that the sample size of a total of 48 patients (32 in favipiravir group and 16 in control group) was needed (with a power of 90% for a significance level of 5% with a two-tailed test). The p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analysis was conducted with R (version 3.6.2).

3. Result

3.1 Patients and baseline analysis

From March 27 to May 9, 2020, 67 patients were screened, of whom 55 (36 in favipiravir group and 19 in control group) were eligible (Figure 1). The median age of study patients was 60 years (ranging from 28 to 79); sex distribution was 16 (44.4%) men versus 20 (55.6%) women in the favipiravir group and 10 (52.6%) versus 9 (47.4%) in the control group.

There was no significant difference in patients' demographic and clinical characteristics before treatment between two groups (Table 1). The moderate type was dominant in both the favipiravir group and the control group (94.4% [34/36] vs. 89.5% [17/19]) according to the clinical classification criteria (Table S1). Most patients were found to be SARS-CoV-2 RNA re-positive during centralized isolation (65.5% [36/55]). The "centralized isolation" shelter was the "Fangcang shelter hospitals" which were temporarily built in China during COVID-19[15]. More than half of the patients (56.4% [31/55]) have at least one chronic disease or malignant tumor, and the most common of

which is hypertension (30.9% [17/55]). The vast majority of patients (74.5% [41/55]) had used at least one antiviral drug during last hospitalization, the most common of which was Umifenovir (61.8% [34/55]). Only two patients (one patient in each group) have ever used glucocorticoid. The duration of the first SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive was 28.2 ± 14.8 days (favipiravir group and control group were 28.3 ± 16.6 and 27.8 ± 11.3 days, respectively), of which the shortest was 5 days and the longest was 86 days. The time from the first SARS-CoV-2 RNA negative (two consecutive times) to this repositive was 20.6 ± 17.4 days (favipiravir group and control group were 22.2 ± 19.3 and 17.9 ± 13.9 days, respectively).

All the patients' vital signs were stable (not shown). Most of the patients (69.1% [38/55]) were without clinical symptoms. Cough was the most common among these symptomatic patients, accounting for only 16.4% (9/55). Other clinical symptoms such as fever, diarrhea and chest tightness were shown in Table 2. As with the first COVID-19 onset, the clinical classification was mainly the moderate type, regardless of the favipiravir group or the control group. The white blood cell count in these re-positive patients were in the normal range (reference values were shown in Table S2). One patient in the favipiravir group had chronic lymphoblastic leukemia, so his blood routine was quite different from the other patients. The median lymphocyte count (1.74 vs. 1.88×10⁹/L) and percentage (28.6% vs. 31.8%) were at the lower limit of the normal value in both the favipiravir group and the control group (Table 2).

3.2 Primary outcome

For the primary endpoint of time from start of study treatment to negative nasopharyngeal swab and sputum, the favipiravir group had a significantly shorter median time (17 days) than the control group (26 days; hazard ratio 2.1 [95% CI 1.1 - 4.0], p=0.038; Figure 2). At the end of this clinical trial (30 days), the proportion of SARS-CoV-2 RNA PCR turning negative in the favipiravir group was much higher than control group (80.6% [29/36] vs. 52.6% [10/19], p=0.030, respectively).

3.3 Secondary outcomes

No death was reported in both groups. After treatment, CRP decreased significantly from baseline in favipiravir group (4.0 \pm 9.1 to 1.5 \pm 2.1 mg/L, p=0.016, Figure 3B), while the control group did not change significantly (2.0 \pm 2.8 to 1.8 \pm 2.7 mg/L, p=0.710). In favipiravir group, CRP decreased significantly from baseline in patients with negative RNA after therapy (2.9 \pm 4.7 to 1.5 \pm 2.3 mg/L, p=0.005). Monocyte count also showed a significant decrease after therapy in the favipiravir group (0.45 \pm 0.17 to 0.38 \pm 0.12×10^9/L, p = 0.020, Figure 3G).

Figure 4 shows the improvement of clinical symptoms in the favipiravir group and the control group before and after treatment. Before treatment, there seemed to be more clinical symptoms in the control group, but there was no statistical difference between them (Table 1). Figure 4A shows the clinical symptoms of the favipiravir group. After treatment, almost all the clinical symptoms, including fever, disappeared, and only one patient with cough. In the control group, cough was relieved in only half of the patients(3/6), and other clinical symptoms are shown in Figure 4B. Because there are few people with clinical symptoms, we only give a simple description.

The CD3+ T cell and CD8 + T cell counts decreased within the first 7 days and then increased in the favipiravir group, in contrast a continuous decrease trend in the control group (Figure 5B and Figure 5F). The CD4+ T cell count did not show an upward trend in both the control group and the favipiravir group (Figure 5D, Table S4). In the favipiravir group, the CD4+ cell count decreased significantly after 15 days of treatment (719.1 \pm 226.6 vs. 484.1 \pm 177.4 count/uL, p=0.001), but then gradually increased. In the control group, CD3+ and CD4+ cell counts decreased significantly after 30 days of treatment ([CD3+ T cell: 1159.2 \pm 280.7 vs. 778 \pm 173.5 count/uL, p=0.026]; [CD4+ T cell: 672.5 \pm 120.2 vs. 505.8 \pm 151.4 count/uL, p=0.047]).

In favipiravir group, IL-8 (mean value 653.7 to 95.3U/L, p=0.007, Figure S1F) and TNF- β (mean value 2.8 to 2.2 U/L, p=0.013, Figure S1M) had a significant decline after

treatment. IL-1 β (mean value 2.6 to 1.3 U/L, p=0.095, Figure S1A) and IL-5 (mean value 3.3 to 2.2 U/L, p=0.056, Figure S1D) also decreased in values although had no statistical difference. In control group, only IL-1 β had an obvious reducing compared with its baseline level (mean value 1.9 to 0.9 U/L, p=0.018, Figure S1A).

We detected anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in 32 patients (the rest 23 patients refuse to be tested). During the entire study, the IgG antibodies of all tested patients were positive, regardless of whether the SARS-CoV-2 RNA was positive. Meanwhile, only 37.5% (12/32) patients had IgM antibodies positive (reference value was \leq 10 AU/ml). The median titer of IgM in patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA negative was slightly higher than those with positive results ([favipiravir group 9.8 vs. 6.4 AU/ml, p = 0.37]; [control group 33.8 vs. 5.6 AU/ml, p = 0.13] Figure S2A). The titer of IgG showed an opposite trend in both group ([favipiravir group 93.3 vs. 128.7 AU/ml, p=0.64]; [control group 96.1 vs. 110.2 AU/ml, p=0.72], Figure S2B).

3.4 Safety

A total of 19 adverse events occurred in this clinical trial. There were 12 in favipiravir group and 7 in control group. Elevated transaminase (ALT and AST) was the most common in both the favipiravir group and the control group. Hyperuricemia, diarrhea, nausea and other adverse reactions were also reported, as detailed in Table S3. No serious adverse effects occurred in this clinical trial, and the aforementioned adverse reactions were alleviated during subsequent follow-up.

4 Discussion

In this multicenter, randomized clinical trial of patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA repositive after discharged, patients who received favipiravir had faster virus clearance than control group. CRP decreased more significantly in favipiravir group. The adverse events were generally mild and self-limiting in favipiravir group.

So far, there have been no randomized controlled trials for recurrent positive after

discharged. Our clinical trial shows that favipiravir is effective in patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA re-positive. An open-label clinical trial showed that favipiravir could shorten the positive duration of the virus compared with lopinavir/ritonavir (median time 4 vs. 11day, P<0.001)[12]. The favipiravir arm also showed significant improvement in chest imaging compared with control arm (91.43% versus 62.22%, P = 0.004). However, our median time for RNA negative was 17 days in favipiravir group, which is significantly longer than Cai et al. study. We note that Cai et al.[12], defined RNA negative criteria as the presence of two consecutive negative results with qPCR detection over an interval of 24 h, while we defined as two consecutive respiratory (both nasopharyngeal swab and sputum) virus test negative results. What's more, our patients are re-positive patients, more than half of the patients are complicated with underlying diseases; this may also be one of the other reasons.

There were many drugs that may be effective against SARS-COV-2, such as remdesivir, Umifenovir, chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir-ritonavir. ribavirin, interferon- α/γ [16]. Clinical trials failed to show benefits of treatment with chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine[17, 18]. The effect of remdesivir was controversial. Wang et al. study (237 patients)[19] showed remdesivir was not associated with statistical clinical benefits (HR 1.23 [95% CI 0.87–1.75]). However, Beigel et al. (1063 patients)[20] demonstrated that remdesivir had a shorter median recovery time (11day [95%CI 9-12]), as compared with placebo (15 days [95% CI, 13 to 19)]; RR 1.3 [95% CI, 1.1-1.6] P<0.001). A triple combination drug study (127 patients)[14] indicated that combination group (interferon β-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin) had a significantly shorter median time of negative nasopharyngeal swab (7 days [IQR 5– 11]) than control group (lopinavir–ritonavir) (12 days [8–15]; HR 4.37 [95% CI 1.86– 10.24], p=0.001). A trial of Lopinavir–Ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe COVID-19 showed that there was no significant difference in clinical symptoms (HR 1.31, [95%CI 0.95-1.80]), 28-day mortality (19.2% vs. 25.0%; difference, -5.8 percentage points; 95% CI, -17.3 to 5.7) and 28-day virus clearance (59.3% vs. 57.7%; difference 1.6 [-15.4, 18.6]) between lopinavir—ritonavir groups and control group[21].

The management of discharge COVID-19 patients with recurrent positive SARS-CoV-RNA is challenging[22, 23]. So, we conducted a PubMed search using the following search strategy: ("recurrent positive"[Title/Abstract] OR "re-positive"[Title/Abstract]) AND ("COVID-19"[Title/Abstract] OR "SARS-CoV-2"[Title/Abstract]). Although there is no direct study about the mechanism of recurrent positive, several related studies have been found. Firstly, there is still live virus in patient. Yao et al. [24] reported an elderly female patient whose nasopharyngeal swab test was negative for three consecutive days, and the clinical symptoms and lung imaging were significantly improved. Just as she was about to be discharged from the hospital, unfortunately, the patient died of cardiac arrest suddenly. After autopsy, SARS-CoV-2 particles was (confirmed by electron microscope found the patient's lungs and immunohistochemical staining). Secondly, the immune status of the patient. Diao et al. study[25] (including 522 COVID-19 patients and 40 healthy controls) declared that not only the number of T cells in COVID-19 patients decreased, but also the function of T cells was significantly suppressed (increasing PD-1 and Tim-3 expression). At the same time, our clinical data also showed that the specific immune function of recurrent positive patients were decreased. Another possibility is that COVID-19 did recover for the first time, but there was another new infection. Although it is currently believed that neutralizing antibodies in patients have some resistance to COVID-19, which can greatly reduce the risk of secondary infection. But because COVID-19 is a brand-new disease, we know little about it. How much protective effect does the antibody produced by the body have? How long does it last? It is still unknown. Therefore, the possibility of reinfection cannot be ruled out. In addition, there are also several reports that SARS-CoV-2 is mutating [26-28], and the ability of antibodies produced by previous infection to protect the mutated virus is also unknown. Besides, the biological characteristics of the virus itself, the use of glucocorticoids in the treatment process, sample collection and detection may also be the reasons for the re-positive of SARS-CoV-2[29].

The majority of patients enrolled in this study without obvious clinical symptoms, while

favipiravir only shortened the duration of SARS-CoV-2positive, which seemed to have little clinical significance. However, we did not think so. First, for patients with clinical symptoms, favipiravir did significantly relieve clinical symptoms, and only one patient had a cough at the end of treatment. Second, for non-severe patients, reducing the duration of the SARS-CoV-2 meant shortening the length of stay. Because according to China's COVID-19 discharge criteria[3], SARS-CoV-2 RNA test positive cannot discharge. We know that long-term hospitalization may cause psychological burdens to both patients, their families and medical staff[30]. For patients and their families, the psychological problems caused by long-term hospitalization or persistent SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive are momentous. Pan et al.[31] research (including 1517 participants) showed that COVID-19 patients required close monitoring in clinical practice. In addition, a survey of 14825 health-care workers by Song et al[32] showed that during the epidemic of COVID-19, the prevalence rates of depressive symptoms and posttraumatic stress disorder were 25.2% and 9.1%, respectively. Third, from the perspective of health economics, it is also meaningful to reduce the SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive. It was reported that the average cost of COVID-19 patients in China is \\$17000 (about \$2600)[33]. If the duration of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA can be reduced, thus reducing the length of hospitalization of inpatients; this is bound to help reduce the health economic burden. In short, it is of great significance for patients without clinical symptoms to use favipiravir to reduce the duration of the virus.

We also have several unavoidable limitations in this clinical trial. First of all, due to the limited number of recurrent positive patients, the sample size of this study needs to be expanded. Secondly, the trial was not blinded, so it is possible that knowledge of the treatment assignment might have influenced clinical decision-making. Thirdly, we followed up all the patients for only 30 days, and it is not clear whether these patients will return to positive again. Fourth, because the EDC system records only the qualitative data of PCR results, we have not been able to obtain the Ct value of the dynamic changes of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in patients. Fifth, the presence of few

symptomatic patients in this study, and only mild symptoms, prevents us from demonstrating a clear clinical benefit of favipiravir. Sixth, hospital admission is mandatory in PCR positive patients in China, and discharge is not allowed meanwhile PCR is still positive, but these measures are not followed worldwide, so the benefits of treatment may not be widespread in other settings.

5 Conclusion

Favipiravir can significantly short SARS-CoV-2 positive time of patients whose RNA re-positive after discharge; increase the chance of virus negative conversion and have better safety. However, a larger scale and randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial is required to fully assess the efficacy and safety of favipiravir in patients with SARS-CoV-2 recurrent positive after discharge.

Declarations

Ethical Approval and Consent to participate: This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University First Hospital and all other participating hospital (Wuhan Pulmonary Hospital, Ezhou Central Hospital, The Third People's Hospital of Shenzhen, The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College and Wuxi Fifth People's Hospital) and has been registered in ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04333589). All patients signed the informed consent form.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: All data generated or analyzed during this study were included in this article (and its supplementary information files).

Competing interests: All authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Funding: This study was supported by Chinese COVID-19 scientific research emergency project (grant numbers 2020YFC0844100, 2020YFC0846800 and 2020ZYLCYJ05-14); China Mega-Project for Infectious Diseases (grant numbers 2017ZX10203202) and China Mega-Project for Innovative Drugs (grant numbers

2016ZX09101065).

Authors' contributions: Hong Zhao, Chi Zhang, Qi Zhu and Xianxiang Chen drafted the manuscript; Guilin Chen, Wenjin Sun, Zuohan Xiao, Weijun Du, Jing Yao, Guojun Li, Yanhua Ji, Niuniu Li, Yujin Jiang, Ying Wang, Qingjin Zeng, Wei Li, Beilei Gong, Xianyou Chang, Feng Zhu and Xiufeng Jiang participated in the collection and arrangement of clinical cases; Chi Zhang and Jiawen Li participated in the creation of figures and tables; Zhao Wu, and Chi Zhang participated in the proofreading of this paper; Yingxia Liu, Peng Peng and Guiqiang Wang provided the overall principle and direction of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments: We thank all the patients and their families who participated in this study, as well as all the health care workers and other staff who participated in the fight against COVID-19. In addition, we thank Ashermed Pharmaceutical Technology Co.Ltd for its participation in data checking and collation.

Reference

- L Q, G X, W P, W X, Z L, T Y, et al., Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. The New England journal of medicine, 2020.
 382(13): p. 1199-1207.
- 2. http://2019ncov.chinacdc.cn/2019-nCoV/global.html (accessed December 24, 2020).
- 3. Diagnosis and treatment protocol for novel coronavirus pneumonia (seventh edition).

 http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/202003/04/5486705/files/ae61004f930d47598711a0d4cbf874a9.pdf (accessed July 10, 2020).
- 4. L L, X D, Y G, X C, W S, L Y, et al., *Positive RT-PCR Test Results in Patients***Recovered From COVID-19. JAMA, 2020.
- 5. Q Mei, J Li, R Du, X Yuan, M Li and J Li, Assessment of patients who tested positive

- for COVID-19 after recovery. Lancet Infect Dis, 2020.
- 6. YM Qu, EM Kang and HY Cong, *Positive result of Sars-Cov-2 in sputum from a cured patient with COVID-19.* Travel Med Infect Dis, 2020. **34**: p. 101619.
- 7. L Y, X SB, L YX, T D, Z ZQ, D FH, et al., *Persistence and clearance of viral RNA in 2019 novel coronavirus disease rehabilitation patients.* Chinese medical journal, 2020. **133**(9): p. 1039-1043.
- 8. J An, X Liao, T Xiao, S Qian, J Yuan, H Ye, et al., *Clinical characteristics of the recovered COVID-19 patients with re-detectable positive RNA test.* medRxiv, 2020: p. 2020.03.26.20044222.
- 9. C EA and H H, *Favipiravir, an antiviral for COVID-19?* The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy, 2020. **75**(7): p. 2013-2014.
- 10. CQ Bai, JS Mu, D Kargbo, YB Song, WK Niu, WM Nie, et al., *Clinical and Virological Characteristics of Ebola Virus Disease Patients Treated With Favipiravir (T-705)-Sierra Leone, 2014.* Clin Infect Dis, 2016. **63**(10): p. 1288-1294.
- M Wang, R Cao, L Zhang, X Yang, J Liu, M Xu, et al., Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. Cell Research, 2020. 30(3): p. 269-271.
- 12. Q Cai, M Yang, D Liu, J Chen, D Shu, J Xia, et al., Experimental Treatment with Favipiravir for COVID-19: An Open-Label Control Study. Engineering, 2020.
- 13. L J, Z C, W Z, W G and Z H, The Mechanism and Clinical Outcome of patients with Corona Virus Disease 2019 Whose Nucleic Acid Test has changed from negative to positive, and the therapeutic efficacy of Favipiravir: A structured summary of a study

- protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials, 2020. 21(1): p. 488.
- I Hung, K Lung, E Tso, R Liu, T Chung, M Chu, et al., *Triple combination of interferon beta-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial.* Lancet, 2020. 395(10238): p. 1695-1704.
- S Chen, Z Zhang, J Yang, J Wang, X Zhai, T Bärnighausen, et al., Fangcang shelter hospitals: a novel concept for responding to public health emergencies. Lancet, 2020.
 395(10232): p. 1305-1314.
- 16. P Vijayvargiya, Z Esquer Garrigos, N Castillo Almeida, P Gurram, R Stevens and R Razonable, *Treatment Considerations for COVID-19: A Critical Review of the Evidence*(or Lack Thereof). Mayo Clinic proceedings, 2020. 95(7): p. 1454-1466.
- 17. J Geleris, Y Sun, J Platt, J Zucker, M Baldwin, G Hripcsak, et al., *Observational Study*of Hydroxychloroquine in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. The New England
 journal of medicine, 2020. **382**(25): p. 2411-2418.
- 18. W Tang, Z Cao, M Han, Z Wang, J Chen, W Sun, et al., *Hydroxychloroquine in patients* with mainly mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial. BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 2020. **369**: p. m1849.
- 19. Y Wang, D Zhang, G Du, R Du, J Zhao, Y Jin, et al., *Remdesivir in adults with severe COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial.* Lancet (London, England), 2020. **395**(10236): p. 1569-1578.
- J Beigel, K Tomashek, L Dodd, A Mehta, B Zingman, A Kalil, et al., Remdesivir for the
 Treatment of Covid-19 Preliminary Report. The New England journal of medicine,

2020.

- 21. B Cao, Y Wang, D Wen, W Liu, J Wang, G Fan, et al., *A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with Severe Covid-19.* N Engl J Med, 2020. **382**(19): p. 1787-1799.
- 22. K Hong, W Cao, Z Liu, L Lin, X Zhou, Y Zeng, et al., *Prolonged presence of viral nucleic acid in clinically recovered COVID-19 patients was not associated with effective infectiousness.* Emerg Microbes Infect, 2020. **9**(1): p. 2315-2321.
- C Yang, M Jiang, X Wang, X Tang, S Fang, H Li, et al., Viral RNA level, serum antibody responses, and transmission risk in recovered COVID-19 patients with recurrent positive SARS-CoV-2 RNA test results: a population-based observational cohort study.
 Emerg Microbes Infect, 2020. 9(1): p. 2368-2378.
- 24. Y XH, H ZC, L TY, Z HR, W Y, M H, et al., *Pathological evidence for residual SARS-CoV-2 in pulmonary tissues of a ready-for-discharge patient.* Cell research, 2020. **30**(6): p. 541-543.
- DB, WC, TY, CX, LY, NL, et al., Reduction and Functional Exhaustion of T Cells in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Frontiers in immunology, 2020.
 p. 827.
- 26. ND Grubaugh, WP Hanage and AL Rasmussen, *Making sense of mutation: what D614G means for the COVID-19 pandemic remains unclear.* Cell, 2020.
- 27. B Korber, WM Fischer, S Gnanakaran, H Yoon, J Theiler, W Abfalterer, et al., *Tracking changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: evidence that D614G increases infectivity of the COVID-19 virus.* Cell, 2020.
- 28. X Tang, C Wu, X Li, Y Song, X Yao, X Wu, et al., *On the origin and continuing evolution*

- of SARS-CoV-2. National Science Review, 2020. 7(6): p. 1012-1023.
- 29. L Zhou, K Liu and H Liu, Cause analysis and treatment strategies of "recurrence" with novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) patients after discharge from hospital (in Chinese). Chinese journal of tuberculosis and respiratory diseases, 2020. **43**(4): p. 281-284.
- D The Lancet Infectious, *The intersection of COVID-19 and mental health.* Lancet Infect
 Dis, 2020. 20(11): p. 1217.
- 31. KY Pan, AAL Kok, M Eikelenboom, M Horsfall, F Jörg, RA Luteijn, et al., *The mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on people with and without depressive, anxiety, or obsessive-compulsive disorders: a longitudinal study of three Dutch case-control cohorts.* Lancet Psychiatry, 2021. **8**(2): p. 121-129.
- 32. X Song, W Fu, X Liu, Z Luo, R Wang, N Zhou, et al., *Mental health status of medical staff in emergency departments during the Coronavirus disease 2019 epidemic in China.* Brain Behav Immun, 2020. **88**: p. 60-65.
- 33. https://news.sina.com.cn/c/2020-03-30/doc-iimxyqwa4112916.shtml (accessed February 24, 2021).

Figure legend

- Figure 1 Flow chart of study design
- **Figure 2** Time to SARS-CoV-2 RNA negative in the Control-to-Favipiravir Population. The shaded areas represent pointwise 95% confidence intervals.
- **Figure 3** Changes of blood routine test and C-reactive protein in peripheral blood before and after treatment. (A) White blood cell count (B) C-reactive protein (C)

Neutrophil count (D) Neutrophil percentage (E) Lymphocyte count (F) Lymphocyte percentage (G) Monocyte count (H) Monocyte percentage. The horizontal line represents the median value. The difference between before and after treatment were calculated by t-test or Wilcoxon-test.

Figure 4 Clinical symptom in favipiravir group and control group. (A) favipiravir group (B) control group

Figure 5 Changes of peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets (median value) in Favipiravir group and Control group. (A) CD3+ Lymphocyte percentage (B) CD3+ Lymphocyte count (C) CD4+ Lymphocyte percentage (D) CD4+ Lymphocyte count (E) CD8+ Lymphocyte percentage (F) CD8+ Lymphocyte count.

Figure S1 Changes of cytokines after 14 days of treatment. (A) IL-1 β (B) IL-2 (C) IL-4 (D) IL-5 (E) IL-6 (F) IL-8 (G) IL-10 (H) IL-12 (I) IL-17A (J) IL-17F (K) IL-22 (L) TNF- α (M) TNF- β (N) IFN- γ

Figure S2 Changes of antibody titer before and after treatment. (A) SARS-CoV-2 IgM titer (B) SARS-CoV-2 IgG titer

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the first SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive*

	Overall	Favipiravir	Control	P
	(n=55)	(n=36)	(n=19)	
Sex				0.836
Female	30 (54.5%)	20 (55.6%)	10 (52.6%)	
Male	25 (45.5%)	16 (44.4%)	9 (47.4%)	
Age (year)	55.7 (13.6)	55.8 (14.2)	55.5 (12.6)	0.949
BMI (kg/m²)	23.3 (2.61)	22.8 (2.44)	24.2 (2.74)	0.067
Clinical type ¹				0.169
Mild	1 (1.8%)	1 (2.8%)	0 (0%)	
Moderate	51 (92.7%)	34 (94.4%)	17 (89.5%)	
Severe	3 (5.5%)	1 (2.8%)	2 (10.5%)	
Positive Spot				0.866
Home Isolation	9 (16.4%)	6 (16.7%)	3 (15.8%)	
Centralized Isolation	36 (65.5%)	23 (63.9%)	13 (68.4%)	
Hospital	10 (18.2%)	7 (19.4%)	3 (15.8%)	
Comorbidities				
Hypertension	17 (30.9%)	8 (22.2%)	9 (47.4%)	0.05
Diabetes	8 (14.5%)	4 (11.1%)	4 (21.1%)	0.42
CHD	4 (7.3%)	2 (5.6%)	2 (10.5%)	0.602
Malignant tumor	4 (7.3%)	3 (8.3%)	1 (5.3%)	>0.9
_				9
CLD^2	7 (12.7%)	4 (11.1%)	3 (15.8%)	0.682
Chronic Disease	31 (56.4%)	18 (50.0%)	13 (68.4%)	0.190
or Tumor ³				
Treatment history				
	9 (16.4%)	7 (19.4%)	2 (10.5%)	0.163
Lopinavir/Ritonavir				
	3 (5.5%)	2 (5.6%)	1 (5.3%)	0.714
Hydroxychloroquine				
Remdesivir	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Oseltamivir	7 (12.7%)	4 (11.1%)	3 (15.8%)	0.939
Umifenovir	34 (61.8%)	20 (55.6%)	14 (73.7%)	0.403
Favipiravir	6 (10.9%)	5 (13.9%)	1 (5.3%)	0.304
Antibiotic	21 (38.2%)	13 (36.1%)	8 (42.1%)	0.92
Glucocorticoid	2 (3.6%)	1 (2.8%)	1 (5.3%)	0.922
SPD ⁴	, ,	, ,		
Mean (Sd) day	28.2 (14.8)	28.3 (16.6)	27.8 (11.3)	0.91
Median [Min, Max]	27.0 [5.00,	27.5 [5.00,	26.0 [10.0,	0.74
day	86.0]	86.0]	49.0]	
Re-Positive Interval ⁵]		1	
Mean (Sd) day	20.6 (17.4)	22.2 (19.3)	17.9 (13.9)	0.405
Median [Min, Max]	15.0 [1.00,	17.0 [1.00,	12.0 [3.00,	0.53

day	71.0]	71.0]	59.0]	

NOTE: *The values shown are based on available data

- 1 Clinical classification was based on China COVID-19 guidelines (7th Edition).
- 2 CLD means chronic liver disease. It's defined as liver necrosis and inflammation caused by different causes and lasting at least 6 months, including hepatitis B, hepatitis C, steatohepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, cirrhosis, etc.
- 3 With a history of at least one chronic disease or malignant tumor.
- 4 The "SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive duration" refers to the time from the first positive detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA to two consecutive nasopharyngeal swabs negative. Two patients were unable to get "SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive duration" data.
- 5 The "Re-Positive Interval" refers to the time from two consecutive nasopharyngeal swabs negative to this recurrent positive interval. Six patients were unable to get "Re-Positive Interval" data.

SPD, SARS-CoV-2 RNA Positive Duration; CHD, Coronary heart disease; CLD, Chronic Liver Disease

Table 2 Clinical characteristics and laboratory examination of this SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive*

	Overall	Favipiravir	Control	P
	(n=55)	(n=36)	(n=19)	
Clinical symptom				
Asymptom	38 (69.1%)	27 (75.0%)	11 (57.9%)	0.192
Cough	9 (16.4%)	3 (8.3%)	6 (31.6%)	0.051
Palpitation	3 (5.5%)	1 (2.8%)	2 (10.5%)	0.272
Chest tightness	3 (5.5%)	1 (2.8%)	2 (10.5%)	0.272
Diarrhea	3 (5.5%)	1 (2.8%)	2 (10.5%)	0.272
Fever	3 (5.5%)	3 (8.3%)	0 (0%)	0.544
Clinical type				>0.999
Mild	1 (1.8%)	1 (2.8%)	0 (0%)	
Moderate	53 (96.4%)	34 (94.4%)	19 (100%)	
Severe	1 (1.8%)	1 (2.8%)	0 (0%)	
Routine blood test				
WBC (10^9/L) Mean	5.9 (1.6)	5.9 (1.8)	5.7 (1.4)	0.648
(SD)				
Neutrophil count	3.5 (1.2)	3.6 (1.3)	3.4 (1.1)	0.621
(10^9/L) Mean (SD)				
Neutrophil	58.6 (7.3)	58.8 (7.6)	58.3 (6.9)	0.832
percentage (%) Mean				
(SD)				
Lymphocyte count	1.7 (0.4)	1.7 (0.5)	1.8 (0.4)	0.746
(10^9/L) Mean (SD)				
Lymphocyte	30.1 (7.2)	29.6 (7.3)	30.9 (7.0)	0.553
percentage (%) Mean		,	• •	
(SD)				

Monocyte count	0.4 (0.2)	0.4 (0.2)	0.4 (0.1)	0.226
(10^9/L) Mean (SD)				
Monocyte percentage	7.4 (2.7)	7.7 (3.1)	6.9 (1.4)	0.311
(%) Mean (SD)				
CRP (mg/L) Mean	3.3 (7.6)	4.0 (9.1)	2.0 (2.8)	0.350
(SD)				
Blood				
biochemical test				
ALB (g/L) Mean	43 (3.2)	42.6 (3.2)	44 (3.2)	0.148
(SD)				
TBIL (umol/L) Mean	11.2 (5.1)	11.7 (5.7)	10 (3.2)	0.249
(SD)				
ALT (U/L) Mean	28.6 (22.2)	26.3 (21.7)	33.6 (23)	0.265
(SD)				
AST (U/L) Mean	21.9 (10.7)	21.6 (11.3)	22.5 (9.6)	0.779
(SD)				
LDH (U/L) Mean	169.8 (35.7)	166.3 (29.8)	176.8 (45.7)	0.324
(SD)				
CK (U/L) Mean (SD)	69.8 (36.1)	71.8 (39.7)	65.7 (28)	0.582
CK-MB (U/L) Mean	11.4 (4.6)	11 (4.2)	12.1 (5.4)	0.423
(SD)				
ALP (U/L) Mean	65 (24)	63.9 (26.1)	67.3 (19.2)	0.639
(SD)				
GGT (U/L) Mean	36.4 (27.3)	36.9 (28.2)	35.2 (26)	0.827
(SD)				
Cr (umol/L) Mean	61.3 (19.7)	61.7 (21.7)	60.4 (15.1)	0.824
(SD)				
UA (umol/L) Mean	361.1 (130.1)	370.6 (132.9)	342.8 (126.6)	0.493
(SD)				
NOTE VEL 1 1	1 1	1111 14		

NOTE: *The values shown are based on available data

WBC white blood cell; SD standard deviation; ALB albumin; TBIL total bilirubin; ALT alanine aminotransferase; AST aspartate aminotransferase; LDH lactate dehydrogenase; CK creatine kinase; CK-MB creatine kinase; ALP alkaline phosphatase; GGT glutamyl transpeptidase; Cr creatinine; UA uric acid. One patient in the favipiravir group was chronic lymphocytic leukemia, with a lymphocyte percentage as high as 82.8%, so the patient was excluded from the analysis.

HIGHLIGHTS

- 1. Favipiravir can significantly shorten SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive duration.
- 2. Favipiravir can increase negative conversion rate of virus in 30 days.
- 3. Favipiravir can improve the clinical symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive.

Competing interests: All authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.









